THE AGBIZ TODAY *E-Magazine,* Vol. -2 Issue-3, July 2025: 082 -091
ISSN: 3049-2491 (Online)
Vijayakumar et al.
https://sabm.scholics.in/

Integrated Approaches to Agroforestry and Forestry Management: Pathways to Sustainable Land Use

Vishnu Raja Vijayakumar[1], Lekhavani Ramesh[2] and Suguna Krishnamoorthy[2]*

[1]Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Microbiology, Srimad Andavan Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli – 620 005, Tamil Nadu,

[2] Centre for Material Engineering and Regenerative Medicine, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Selaiyur, Chennai – 600 073, Tamil Nadu, India.

***Correspondence Author Email :** vishnuraja31@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Agroforestry and forest management are critical pillars of sustainable land use systems. By blending ecological principles with productive land use, these strategies contribute to biodiversity conservation, climate change mitigation, and enhanced rural livelihoods. Agroforestry, the deliberate integration of trees with crops and/or livestock, fosters multifunctional landscapes, while forest management ensures the longevity, health, and productivity of forest ecosystems. Given increasing global concerns such as deforestation, land degradation, and food insecurity, the integration of these systems has become pivotal. This article reviews the evolution, types, ecological and socioeconomic benefits, and implementation challenges of agroforestry and sustainable forest management. It highlights global case studies, technological advancements, and strategic recommendations to support the upscaling of integrated land-use practices worldwide.

Keywords: *Agroforestry, sustainable development, forestry management, ecosystem services, climate adaptation, biodiversity, land tenure*

1. Introduction

Forestry and tree-based agricultural systems are critical to global sustainability. Forest ecosystems are the planet's largest terrestrial carbon sinks and are indispensable for services like water cycle regulation, soil retention, and biodiversity conservation. However, unsustainable land-use practices - including conventional agriculture and commercial logging - have degraded landscapes and contributed to roughly 26 % of global greenhouse gas emissions. Agroforestry bridges agricultural productivity with ecosystem health. It enhances resilience to climate change impacts such as drought and extreme heat and increases income diversification for farmers. Simultaneously, robust forestry management approaches ensure long-term ecological integrity of forest lands. This integrative lens is essential: energy, transport, and industry decarbonization alone won't suffice; nature-based solutions must be central to any climate strategy (Mubarak., et. al., 2024). This chapter explores updated scientific findings, field evidence, and policy innovations in agroforestry and forestry management from 2023–2025. It emphasizes their roles in delivering co-benefits - ecological, socioeconomic, and climate mitigation—within multifunctional landscapes aligned with the Bonn Challenge, the Paris Agreement, and national commitments to restore degraded lands. The goals of this manuscript are to:

- Analyze recent empirical studies on agroforestry and sustainable forestry.
- Update typological classification and functional outputs of diverse land-use systems.
- Present case studies that exemplify successful implementation and outcomes.
- Identify persistent barriers and assess enabling mechanisms.
- Provide actionable strategic pathways to accelerate adoption and scale impact.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Historical Roots and Evolution

Agroforestry emerged from indigenous and traditional land-use practices that integrated trees, crops, and livestock for food security and ecological stability. Formal agroforestry research expanded during the 1980s and 1990s (Nugent, 2023) yet adoption remained limited until recent policy and funding shifts.Forestry management has evolved from colonial-era timber extraction to contemporary Sustainable Forest Management (SFM), which includes ecosystem services, community forest stewardship, and market-based certification such as FSC (Muller, 2023). This transition evidences growing recognition of forests' multifunctionality within global environmental frameworks.

2.2 Typologies and Functional Classifications

Contemporary agroforestry typologies include:

- **Agrosilvicultural Systems:** e.g., alley cropping, intercropping trees with annual crops for erosion control and supplemental income.
- **Silvopastoral Systems (SPS):** integrating trees with pasture and livestock, widely documented in Colombia and Brazil (Sandoval et. al., 2023).
- **Agrosilvopastoral Systems:** tri-structured systems combining crops, trees, and livestock—offering multifunctional benefits.

Notably, silvopastoral systems in Colombia have shown significant ecosystem service outcomes, including methane reduction, improved soil health, and climate resilience (Sandoval et. al., 2023).

2.3 Environmental and Ecosystem Service Outcomes

Recent peer-reviewed findings report:

- SPS in Colombia reduce enteric methane emissions and improve beef productivity (Molina-Botero, et. al., 2024).
- Soil ecosystem services—nutrient cycling, erosion control, water regulation—improved by up to 34 % after 15 years of SPS adoption (Moreno-Perez, et. al., 2025).
- Increased invertebrate and bird biodiversity in SPS landscapes.
- Brazil's agroforestry initiatives restored degraded lands, doubling typical livelihood returns within two years.

Advances in carbon accounting mechanisms further quantify benefits, such as valuation of methane avoided (~US$6 per head) and microclimate regulation (~US$2,026/ha) in SPS models (Sandoval et. al., 2023).

2.4 Socioeconomic and Livelihood Impacts

Evidence highlights multidimensional impacts:

- In Cauca, Colombia, SPS adoption reduced dairy emissions by 40 % and improved productivity across smallholder systems (Moreno-Perez, et. al., 2025).
- Colombia's SPS projects showcased higher paddock utilization, improved cattle weight gains, and decreased deforestation.
- Brazil projects show initial higher costs but recoupment within two years, doubling incomes per acre compared to monoculture (Nugent, 2023).
- Rotational SPS driven by local actors (e.g., Michael Robbin) have demonstrated carbon-neutral or even carbon-positive outcomes in Monteria (Landis, et. al., 2024)

2.5 Policy and Institutional Drivers

Emerging policy support is shaping uptake:

- The U.S. 2023–2025 Farm Bills and Agriculture Resilience Act initiated regional agroforestry centers and funding pilots (Muller, 2023).
- India's 2014 agroforestry policy is being strengthened with 2024 programs linking tree planting to enhanced farmer incomes and climate adaptation.
- Corporate supply chain stakeholders (e.g., Nestlé, OFI) are integrating agroforestry into regenerative agriculture models, piloting financial supports and insurance mechanisms (Slavin, 2024).
- Circular bioeconomy initiatives in the Amazon (e.g., Armani/LVMH cotton and coffee pilots) incorporate agroforestry as core production models (Slavin, 2024).

The literature surrounding agroforestry and forest management spans multiple disciplines, including ecology, agronomy, sociology, and economics. It reflects the transition from extractive forestry to sustainable land-use practices that incorporate local knowledge and promote ecological resilience. This section explores the historical context, typologies of agroforestry systems, policy frameworks, and contemporary academic contributions shaping these practices.

3.1 Historical Development of Agroforestry and Forest Management

Agroforestry practices date back centuries and were widely practiced by indigenous and local communities across Africa, Asia, and Latin America. Early systems such as shifting cultivation, home gardens, and silvopasture were grounded in deep ecological knowledge and resource efficiency. These systems allowed for simultaneous food production, soil fertility maintenance, and forest conservation (Nair, 1993; Leakey, 2017).

In contrast, formal forestry management developed primarily during the colonial period, with an emphasis on timber extraction and commercial monocultures. In British India and other colonies, large forest tracts were exploited to meet industrial and infrastructural demands in Europe, often displacing forest-dependent communities (Guha, 1989). This extractive model led to severe biodiversity loss, degradation of ecosystem services, and social conflict.

By the mid-20th century, criticisms of conventional forestry began to surface. The failure of monoculture plantations to provide sustainable ecological benefits led to the emergence of sustainable forest management (SFM) principles. These focused on balancing timber production with conservation, equity, and long-term ecosystem integrity (FAO, 2020).

3.2 Agroforestry Systems and Typologies

Modern agroforestry is defined as a dynamic, ecologically based natural resource management system that, through the integration of trees on farms and in the agricultural landscape, diversifies and sustains production for increased social, economic, and environmental benefits (ICRAF, 2023).

Agroforestry systems are broadly classified into the following categories:

- **Agrosilvicultural Systems**: Involve the cultivation of agricultural crops alongside trees (e.g., alley cropping, taungya systems). These systems improve microclimatic conditions, reduce erosion, and enhance soil fertility (Sanchez, 1995).
- **Silvopastoral Systems**: Combine pasture with trees and livestock. This integration improves fodder availability, sequesters carbon, and reduces methane emissions from grazing animals (Mekuria, et. al., 2023).
- **Agrosilvopastoral Systems**: Represent a full integration of trees, crops, and animals. This holistic approach enhances nutrient cycling, diversifies incomes, and builds climate resilience (Garrity, et al., 2024).
- **Homegarden Systems**: These are common in South and Southeast Asia, where multiple species (trees, herbs, vegetables) coexist around a household. They offer high biodiversity and continuous food supply throughout the year (Kumar, et. al., 2024).

- **Boundary Planting and Windbreaks**: Often used in arid and semi-arid regions to protect crops from wind and evaporation, while providing timber, fuelwood, or fodder (Rahman, et. al., 2024).

The classification of systems is influenced by climatic zone, land tenure, cultural practices, and market access. Comparative studies in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia show that mixed systems generally outperform monocultures in terms of both ecological and economic returns (Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 2024).

3.3 Evolution of Sustainable Forestry Management

Sustainable Forest Management (SFM) evolved in response to the widespread environmental degradation and social unrest caused by unsustainable logging practices. The concept gained international traction following the 1992 United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (Rio Earth Summit), which established the Forest Principles and emphasized the multiple functions of forests (UNEP, 2024).

SFM is now anchored in seven key criteria: biodiversity conservation, productive capacity, forest health, soil and water protection, carbon cycles, socioeconomic benefits, and legal/institutional frameworks (FAO, 2020). Certification schemes such as FSC (Forest Stewardship Council) and PEFC (Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification) have further institutionalized SFM by offering market incentives for verified sustainable practices.

Recent studies emphasize the growing role of community-based forestry and landscape approaches in forest governance. In Nepal, more than 22,000 community forest user groups collectively manage over 2 million hectares of forest land, showing measurable improvements in forest cover and household incomes (Ojha, et. al., 2023). In Latin America, community concessions in Guatemala’s Petén region combine forest conservation with ecotourism, demonstrating that local governance can be effective in maintaining zero deforestation (Le Monde, 2024).

3.4 Policy and Institutional Frameworks

Policy frameworks significantly influence the success of agroforestry and sustainable forest management. While agroforestry often exists in a legal grey area—neither fully agricultural nor fully forestry—many countries are now moving toward integrated policy approaches.

India's National Agroforestry Policy (2014) was a pioneering initiative that recognized agroforestry as a distinct land-use system. It facilitated simplified regulations for tree felling and transport, promoted public-private partnerships, and encouraged convergence with programs like the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (Tewari, et. al., 2023). Recent developments in Assam (2024) and Maharashtra (2025) have seen agroforestry integrated with afforestation and carbon credit programs (CAFRI, 2025).

Globally, institutions like FAO, UNFCCC, CIFOR, and ICRAF support agroforestry in the context of climate change mitigation, food security, and ecosystem restoration. The Bonn Challenge, which aims to restore 350 million hectares of degraded land by 2030, includes agroforestry as a core strategy (UNEP, 2024).

However, the implementation of these policies is often hampered by fragmented institutional mandates, lack of inter-ministerial coordination, and limited funding. In many African and Southeast Asian countries, separate departments manage forests and agriculture, creating silos that obstruct holistic land management (Agrawal, et. al., 2023).

Successful implementation requires multi-level governance, secure land tenure, capacity-building for local institutions, and access to markets. Participatory decision-making processes, where communities are engaged in the design and monitoring of programs, have shown to increase legitimacy and effectiveness (Garrity, et. al., 2024).

4. Discussion

4.1 Environmental & Ecological Impacts

- **Methane Reduction & Carbon Sequestration** Recent field studies in Colombia show that silvopastoral systems (SPS) reduce enteric methane emissions by approximately 15–30%. Molina-Botero et. al., 2024 observed a 15% emissions drop in Brahman × Angus steers grazing on Leucaena-integrated pastures compared to grass monocultures. Further research in Argentina's Espinal highlighted SPS significantly cutting soil CO_2 emissions—up to 36% lower than eucalyptus plantations (Piipponen, et. al., 2022 and Molina-Botero, et. al., 2024).
- **Ecosystem Services Enrichment:** SPS enhance ecosystem services such as microclimate control, biodiversity, and soil stabilization. A Colombian study quantified these benefits economically: methane mitigation (~US$6 per head) and shade effects (~US$2,026/ha) in SPS significantly improved system sustainability (Sandoval, et. al., 2023). Brazilian Cerrado assessments found SPS soils to release less CO_2 than pasture systems, stabilizing carbon over time and summarizes silvopasture's climate role: higher carbon uptake than open pasture, better soil C maintenance, and reduced N_2O emissions (de Freitas, et. al., 2020).
- **Carbon Accounting & Traceability** Forestry 4.0 platforms integrate AI, IoT sensors, and blockchain to track precise carbon sequestration in real time(Damasevicius, et. al., 2024). These systems enhance credibility through transparent data and support carbon credit mechanisms, facilitating payments for ecosystem services.

4.2 Socio-economic Outcomes

- **Productivity & Farmer Incomes** In Cauca, Colombia, dairy farms adopting SPS have reduced milk carbon footprints by 40% while improving yields (Gonzalez Quintero, et. al., 2024). Costa Rica's beef SPS trials in Colombia tripled carrying capacity and doubled income per hectare compared to continuous grazing. Argentina's forage-based SPS indicated higher weight gains with reduced GHGs (Landis, et.al., 2024).
- **Adoption Barriers** Despite ecological and economic gains, SPS adoption lags—less than 10% uptake in many Colombian regions. Barriers include upfront investment, technical training deficits, and unclear land tenure (Landis, et.al., 2024).
- **Value for Producers** Integrating ecosystem services into financial models offers major profit incentives: methane reduction estimated at ~US$6/head, and microclimate regulation valued at ~US$2,000/ha in Colombia. This quantification enhances producer appeal and defines SPS as viable commercial systems (Sandoval, et. al., 2023).

4.3 Institutional & Policy Enablers

- **Policy Experiments & Scale-up** India's updated policies are channeling agroforestry toward farmer income, climate goals, and land restoration at the national scale. In Latin America, governments are supporting SPS through research, extension, and carbon finance initiatives. SPS projects have been deployed at scale: international pilots in Colombia converted 38,000 ha of degraded pasture to SPS between 2010 and 2020—though adoption remains uneven (Landis, et. al., 2024).
- **Markets for Ecosystem Services** Carbon credit mechanisms are evolving: Forest 4.0 platforms enable verified tracking of forest and farm carbon stocks, facilitating credible payments for environmental services using blockchain and smart contracts (Damasevicius, et. al., 2024 and Saraji, et. al., 2021). Supply chains (e.g., Nestlé, LVMH) are piloting agroforestry integration for regenerative sourcing.
- **Capacity & Knowledge Networks** Community-based silvopastoral networks led by local entrepreneurs like Michael Robbin (Colombia) demonstrate that peer-to-peer extensions and regional coalitions are essential to build technical skills and cultural acceptance (Landis, et. al., 2024).

4.4 Technological & Research Innovations

- **Forest 4.0: Integrative Platforms** Forest 4.0 systems link IoT, GIS, remote sensing, blockchain, and AI for real-time monitoring, carbon accounting, habitat conservation, and supply chain transparency (Damasevicius, et. al., 2024). Farmonaut and similar services now deliver affordable, scalable platforms for smallholders and governments.
- **AI-Enabled Remote Sensing** Advanced tools like Tree-GPT (LLM-powered remote sensing analysis), ReforesTree (drone/CNN carbon estimation), and Sentinel-LiDAR fusion enable precise, cost-effective biomass quantification supporting MRV processes (Du, et. al., 2023).
- **Methane Mitigation Research** Seaweed feed additives (e.g., Asparagopsis) have demonstrated ~38% methane reduction in grazing cattle (Meo-Filho, et. al., 2024). SPS remains a frontrunner due to affordable nature-based solutions without feed input costs.

Recent studies affirm that silvopastoral systems deliver measurable environmental benefits—including greenhouse gas mitigation and biodiversity enhancement—and robust economic returns for farmers. Challenges in adoption persist, primarily due to upfront costs, insecure tenure, and knowledge gaps. However, policy initiatives, carbon finance innovations, and digital platforms (Forest 4.0) are creating enabling environments. Technological advances have reduced monitoring costs and increased transparency, enhancing scalability. Together, these developments pave the way for agroforestry and forestry management to evolve into powerful, integrated land-use strategies—capable of driving climate resilience, rural prosperity, and ecological integrity.

5. Challenges and Constraints

Despite the recognized ecological and socioeconomic benefits of agroforestry and forestry management, several systemic challenges persist:

5.1 Land Tenure and Governance Issues

Insecure land tenure discourages farmers and forest communities from making long-term investments in tree planting or forest protection. Many smallholders, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, operate under informal or customary land rights without legal protections. In forest concessions like those in Guatemala, clear tenure and benefit-sharing arrangements have proven pivotal — yet such models are still rare.

Challenge:

- Lack of legal recognition of land rights, especially for women and indigenous communities.
- Overlapping jurisdiction between forestry, agriculture, and land ministries.

5.2 Institutional Fragmentation

Agroforestry often sits at the intersection of agriculture and forestry sectors, but bureaucratic silos lead to fragmented decision-making and weak policy coordination. Agricultural policies prioritize short-term food productivity, while forestry often focuses on conservation, limiting integrated planning.

Challenge:

- Separate funding lines, extension services, and research mandates across ministries.
- Absence of cohesive national land-use strategies in many countries.

5.3 Technical Capacity and Knowledge Gaps

The complexity of agroforestry systems — especially when combining multiple crops, trees, and livestock — demands higher levels of farmer knowledge. In many regions, technical support is either unavailable or focused narrowly on monoculture or traditional forestry.

Challenge:

- Inadequate extension services in rural and remote areas.
- Lack of locally tailored best-practice manuals and training programs.

5.4 Financial and Market Limitations

Establishing agroforestry systems often entails high initial costs with delayed returns, making them unattractive to risk-averse or low-income farmers. Moreover, markets for agroforestry products (e.g., shade-grown coffee, medicinal trees, or FSC-certified timber) are underdeveloped in many contexts.

Challenge:

- Limited access to credit, crop insurance, and start-up capital.
- Poor linkages to value chains and eco-certified markets.

5.5 Monitoring and Evaluation Barriers

Measuring ecosystem services like carbon sequestration or biodiversity enhancement in agroforestry remains challenging due to high costs and technical limitations. This hinders participation in payments for ecosystem services (PES) or carbon markets.

Challenge:

- Lack of affordable, standardized monitoring tools for smallholders.
- Weak MRV (Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification) frameworks for agroforestry and forest carbon projects.

6. Strategic Recommendations

To overcome these constraints and unlock the full potential of agroforestry and sustainable forest management, a multi-pronged strategy is needed, combining policy reforms, investment, technological innovation, and community empowerment.

6.1 Secure Land Rights and Clarify Legal Frameworks

- **Action:** Legal recognition of customary tenure through participatory mapping and reform programs.
- **Example:** Nepal's Community Forest User Groups and Guatemala's forest concessions demonstrate how clear rights foster stewardship.
- **Policy Need:** Cross-sectoral tenure policies that integrate forest, agriculture, and land-use systems.

6.2 Integrate Policy and Institutional Frameworks

- **Action:** Create unified national land-use frameworks that merge forestry and agriculture strategies.
- **Recommendation:** Establish agroforestry task forces that include ministries of environment, agriculture, rural development, and finance.
- **Global Model:** India's National Agroforestry Policy (NAP 2014, revised 2024) now supports multi-sectoral convergence and farmer incentives.

6.3 Strengthen Capacity Building and Extension Services

- **Action:** Scale up agroforestry education in agricultural universities, vocational programs, and farmer field schools.
- **Support:** Peer-to-peer learning models, as seen in Colombia and Ghana, are effective in building trust and localized knowledge.
- **Investment:** NGOs and international donors should co-develop toolkits with communities in local languages.

6.4 Improve Financing and Market Access

- **Action:** Expand blended finance models combining public subsidies, private loans, and climate finance for agroforestry.
- **Policy Suggestion:** Provide tax credits or subsidies for companies sourcing from regenerative agroforestry value chains.
- **Private Sector Role:** Brands like Nestlé and LVMH are investing in agroforestry as part of their net-zero and traceability commitments.

6.5 Advance Technology for Monitoring and Traceability

- **Action:** Deploy AI-driven platforms like Forest 4.0 and open-access remote sensing tools to enable cost-effective monitoring.
- **Support:** Governments and NGOs should offer technical assistance and data services to cooperatives and smallholders.
- **Opportunity:** Integrate blockchain for transparent tracking of carbon credits and sustainably sourced agroforestry products.

6.6 Mobilize Carbon and Ecosystem Service Payments

- **Action:** Support integration of agroforestry into national carbon markets and REDD+ strategies.
- **Example:** Colombian SPS systems achieved measurable carbon neutrality through voluntary carbon credit programs.
- **Platform Suggestion:** Create regional "Ecosystem Services Markets" linked with MRV tools, enabling smallholders to monetize climate and biodiversity services.

6.7 Promote Gender and Social Inclusion

- **Action:** Prioritize gender-responsive agroforestry policies and ensure women and marginalized groups access training, credit, and land rights.
- **Example:** In the Philippines, women-led mangrove agroforestry groups increased both resilience and household incomes.
- **Indicator:** Include gender-disaggregated data in agroforestry MRV systems and project reporting.

Scaling agroforestry and sustainable forestry requires bold integration — of policies, disciplines, technologies, and voices. Climate-smart land management must be inclusive, economically viable, and scientifically sound. With strong enabling conditions, agroforestry can shift from a niche solution to a global norm.

7. Conclusion

Agroforestry and sustainable forestry management are no longer peripheral strategies—they are central pillars of 21st-century climate resilience, food security, and biodiversity conservation. As shown in multiple case studies from Latin America to South Asia, these systems simultaneously improve livelihoods, enhance ecosystem services, and offer viable carbon mitigation pathways. Agroforestry systems like silvopasture are proving their capacity to reduce emissions, stabilize soils, regulate microclimates, and boost smallholder incomes. Forest management models that prioritize community rights, participatory governance, and multi-stakeholder partnerships have reversed deforestation trends and catalyzed socio-ecological renewal.

However, unlocking the full potential of these practices requires addressing persistent barriers. These include insecure land tenure, siloed governance, limited access to finance and markets, weak technical capacity, and costly monitoring systems. A paradigm shift is needed—from fragmented, short-term land-use decisions toward holistic, integrated landscape approaches.

Moving forward, a comprehensive strategy should include:

- Strengthening tenure security and legal frameworks.
- Aligning agriculture, forestry, and climate policies under cohesive national plans.
- Expanding training, extension services, and community-led demonstration projects.
- Building innovative finance mechanisms to support farmers during transitions.
- Leveraging digital technologies like AI, satellite monitoring, and blockchain for traceability and carbon accounting.
- Ensuring inclusive participation, particularly of women, youth, and indigenous groups.

With international climate goals, national restoration pledges, and corporate net-zero targets gaining momentum, the time is ripe to invest in agroforestry and forest management at scale. These nature-based solutions can restore degraded lands, reduce poverty, enhance food systems, and ensure a more climate-resilient, biodiverse, and just future.

References:

1. Mubarak, R. A., and Mohieldin, M. (2024) Without prioritising finance for nature, the climate equation for net zero won't add up. *Rewarding farmers for regenerative agriculture is critical to decarbonizing the food sector*. Industry Insight from Ethical Corporation Magazine, a part of Thomson Reuters. https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/land-use-biodiversity/rewarding-farmers-regenerative-agriculture-2024
2. Nugent, C., & Timburi, (2023). Brazil's climate-smart forest gardens yield profits and carbon savings, *Time - Agroforestry could save Brazil's Rain Forests*. https://time.com/6242262/brazil-drought-farming-rain-forests
3. Muller, A. H. (2023). America's agroforestry renaissance, U.S. boosts agroforestry research under 2023–25 Farm Bill. *Axios - Energy and Climate*, Axios, 2https://www.axios.com/2023/03/02/agroforestry-funding-interest-climate
4. Sandoval, D. F., Florez, J. F., Valencia, K. J. E., Cabrera, M. E. S., & Stefan, B. (2023). Economic-environmental assessment of silvo-pastoral systems in Colombia: An ecosystem service perspective. *Heliyon*, 9(8), e19082.
5. Molina-Botero, I. C., Villegas, D. M., Montoya, A., Mazabel, J., Bastidas, M., Ruden, A., Gaviria, H., Peláez, J. D., Chara, J., Murgueitio, E., Moorby, J., & Arango, J. (2024). Effect of a silvopastoral system with Leucaena diversifolia on enteric methane emissions, animal performance, and meat fatty acid profile of beef steers. *Agroforestry Systems*, 98(7), 1967-1984.
6. Moreno-Perez, C., Mora-Motta, D., Ortiz-Morea, F. A., Blesh, J., & Silva-Olaya, A. M. (2025). Transitioning from extensive pastures to silvopastoral systems improves multiple soil ecosystem services in Colombian Amazon. *Science of the Total Environment*, 974, 179185.
7. Landis, A., & Calle, J. (2024). Can Colombia's 'crazy cattle ranchers' make beef eco-friendly?, The Guardian, https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2024/dec/16/can-colombias-crazy-cattle-ranchers-make-beef-an-eco-friendly-choice
8. Slavin, T. (2024). Can the bioeconomy help save the Amazon from deforestation? Industry Insight from Ethical Corporation Magazine, a part of *Thomson Reuters*.
9. Nair, P. K. R. (1993). *An Introduction to Agroforestry*. Springer.
10. Leakey, R. R. B. (2017). *Multifunctional Agriculture: Achieving Sustainable Development in Africa*. Elsevier.
11. Guha, R. (1989). *The Unquiet Woods: Ecological Change and Peasant Resistance in the Himalaya*. Oxford University Press.
12. FAO. (2020). *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
13. ICRAF. (2023). *Agroforestry and Sustainable Livelihoods in Africa*. World Agroforestry Centre.
14. Sanchez, P. A. (1995). Science in agroforestry. *Agroforestry Systems, 30*(1–2), 5–55.
15. Mekuria, W., Yazew, E., & Aynekulu, E. (2023). Carbon sequestration and soil restoration through agroforestry. *Agricultural Systems*, *211*, 103412.
16. Garrity, D. P., Bandy, D., & Rassol, S. (2024). The agroforestry solution: Restoring degraded landscapes. *Agroforestry Systems*, *98*(3), 421–435.
17. Kumar, B. M., & Nair, P. K. R. (2024). *Homegardens and Agroforestry: Research Priorities in South Asia*. Springer.
18. Rahman, M., Ali, M., & Karim, R. (2024). Economic viability of smallholder agroforestry in Bangladesh. *Agroforestry Systems*, *98*(2), 277–289.
19. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. (2024). *Agroforestry for food security and climate resilience*. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sustainable-food-systems

20. UNEP. (2024). *UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration: Progress Report*. United Nations Environment Programme.
21. Ojha, H., et al. (2023). Community forestry in Nepal: Twenty-five years of conservation and livelihood outcomes. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *145*, 102859.
22. Le Monde. (2024). Mayan forest concessions in Guatemala: A model for combating deforestation. https://www.lemonde.fr
23. Tewari, D. N., & Dhyani, S. K. (2023). Policy imperatives for scaling agroforestry in India. *Indian Journal of Agroforestry*, *25*(1), 1–15.
24. CAFRI. (2025). *Agroforestry initiatives and climate resilience strategies in Indian states*. Central Agroforestry Research Institute, Jhansi.
25. Agrawal, A., Chhatre, A., & Hardin, R. (2023). Decentralization and community-based forestry: Understanding impacts. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, *6*, 1213356. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2023.1213356
26. Piipponen, J., Jalava, M., de Leeuw, J., Rizayeva, A., Godde, C., Cramer, G., Herrero, M., & Kummu, M. (2022). Global trends in grassland carrying capacity and relative stocking density of livestock. *Global Change Biology*, 28(12), 3902–3919. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16174
27. de Freitas, I. C., Ribeiro, J. M., Araujo, N. C. A., Santos, M. V., Sampaio, R. A., Fernandes, L. A., Azevedo, A. M., Feigl, B. J., Cerri, C. E. P., & Frazao, L. A. (2020). Agrosilvopastoral systems and well-managed pastures increase soil carbon stocks in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, *73*(6), 776-785.
28. Damasevicius, R., Mozgeris, G., Kurti, A., & Maskeliunas, R. (2024). Digital transformation of the future of forestry: an exploration of key concepts in the principles behind Forest 4.0. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 7, 1424327.
29. Gonzalez Quintero, R., García, E. H., Florez, F., Burkart, S., & Arango, J. (2024). A case study on enhancing dairy cattle sustainability: The impact of silvopastoral systems and improved pastures on milk carbon footprint and farm economics in Cauca department, Colombia. *Agroforestry Systems*, 98(8), 3001-3018.
30. Damasevicius, R., Mozgeris, G., Kurti, A., & Maskeliunas, R. (2024). *Digital transformation of the future of forestry: An exploration of key concepts in the principles behind Forest 4.0*. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 7, 1424327. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2024.1424327
31. Saraji, S., & Borowczak, M. (2021). A Blockchain-based Carbon Credit Ecosystem, Computer Science - Distributed, Parallel, and Cluster Computing, arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.00185
32. Du, S., Tang, S., Wang, W., Li, X., & Guo, R. (2023). Tree-GPT: Modular Large Language Model Expert System for Forest Remote Sensing Image Understanding and Interactive Analysis, Computer Science - Distributed, Parallel, and Cluster Computing, arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.04698
33. Meo-Filho, P., Ramirez-Agudelo, J. F., & Kebreab, E. (2024). Mitigating methane emissions in grazing beef cattle with a seaweed-based feed additive: Implications for climate-smart agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(50), e2410863121.