

## **Integrating Traditional Knowledge with Modern Organic Farming Practices: A Pathway toward Sustainable Agriculture**

*Murtaza Ali Abdullah and Gulshan Kumar*

Department of Biosciences, Career Point University

### **ABSTRACT**

The combination of farming ways with new organic farming methods is a good way to grow food in a sustainable way. This study looks at what happens when we combine the knowledge of farmers with the latest organic farming methods in different areas. We looked at a lot of research went to fields to see how things are done and compared the results to see how practices like growing multiple crops together saving old seeds using natural ways to control pests combining trees and crops and using local materials to improve the soil work, with modern organic farming standards. We want to see how traditional farming and organic farming can work together. Traditional farming and organic farming can be a team. Farmers who use knowledge systems get really good results. They have 23 to 35 % carbon in the soil. This is because the soil can hold organic carbon. They also get 18 to 28 % crops from their fields. The farmers do not have to buy many things from outside to help their crops grow. They need 40 to 52 % external things. They use water in a way too. They use 15 to 22 % water. When there is not rain the crops do not die as easily. Farmers who use systems lose 30 to 45 percent fewer crops during droughts. Integrated knowledge systems are really good, for farmers because they help the soil and the crops in ways. Traditional knowledge provides climate adaptation strategies, biodiversity conservation, and cost-effective local solutions, while modern organic farming offers certification, scientific validation, and market access. Successful integration requires participatory research, knowledge documentation, supportive policies, and market development. Evidence suggests that integrating traditional wisdom with scientific innovation creates sustainable, culturally appropriate, and economically viable farming systems capable of addressing contemporary agricultural challenges

**Keywords:** *Agriculture; Integration; Knowledge; Organic; Traditional*

### **1. Introduction**

Modern agriculture faces critical challenges balancing productivity with environmental sustainability, climate resilience, and social equity. While the Green Revolution achieved remarkable yield gains, it contributed to soil degradation, biodiversity loss, and greenhouse gas emissions (Pretty et al., 2018; Rockström et al., 2017). Organic farming has emerged as a viable alternative emphasizing ecological principles, yet faces adoption barriers including yield gaps and economic constraints (Seufert & Ramankutty, 2017). Traditional agricultural knowledge (TAK), developed over millennia through empirical observation, represents an invaluable repository of sustainable practices adapted to local conditions (Altieri & Nicholls, 2017; Berkes, 2018). Indigenous communities have maintained sophisticated crop diversity, soil management, water conservation, and pest control systems sustaining productivity without external

inputs (Koohafkan & Altieri, 2017). However, traditional practices have been marginalized in agricultural development, leading to knowledge erosion and biodiversity loss (Gomez-Baggethun et al., 2013). Combining ways of farming with new organic methods brings together what people have learned from experience and what science has discovered. This helps solve the problems we are facing today while keeping our traditions alive. Some researchers have shown that this combination can really work. For example in India they found out that using ways of rotating crops and modern ways of making compost made the soil better by storing 32 percent more carbon. In Latin America they used the milpa system and got certified as organic farmers, which made their farms more profitable, by 45 percent. Organic farming and traditional knowledge are a team. This review synthesizes evidence on integrating TAK with modern organic farming, examining synergies, benefits, challenges, and pathways for successful implementation

## 2. Conceptual Framework

### 1.1 Traditional Agricultural Knowledge

Traditional agricultural knowledge encompasses accumulated wisdom, practices, and beliefs developed by indigenous communities through generations of environmental interaction (Berkas et al., 2000). Key characteristics include holistic ecosystem understanding, long-term adaptation to local conditions, integration of ecological and cultural dimensions, and dynamic adaptation (Altieri & Toledo, 2011). TAK elements include landraces selected for specific traits, organic soil fertility management, botanical pest management, water conservation techniques, traditional weather forecasting, and agroforestry systems (Koochafkan & Altieri, 2011).

### 1.2 Modern Organic Farming and Integration Synergies

Organic farming is a way of growing food that's good for people and the environment. This type of farming follows some rules that are agreed upon by people all around the world. Organic farming is about keeping people and the earth healthy being fair to everyone and taking care of the land. When we do farming we use special seeds and tools that are safe for the earth. We also use compost to make the soil healthy. We try to control pests in a way that does not harm the environment. Organic farming brings a lot of things together. For example growing different types of crops is good, for the soil and helps to keep it healthy. We can also use ways of farming like the ways that indigenous people used to farm to make our soil healthy. When we design our farms we try to make sure that they work well with the local environment. Organic farming is a way of working with nature not against it. Economic benefits include reduced input costs and enhanced market access through certification (Rosset & Altieri, 2017). Environmental benefits encompass agrobiodiversity conservation, improved soil health, and strengthened ecosystem services (Perfecto et al., 2019).

## 3. Scientific Basis of Traditional Practices

### 3.1 Soil Fertility Management

Traditional farmers have found ways to keep the soil healthy without using products. They do things like turning farm waste into compost using manures adding biochar to the soil and planting things that help fix nitrogen. This really works. When traditional farmers compost they get a fertilizer that has all the nutrients the soil needs. Green manures are also very helpful they add a lot of nitrogen to the soil around 60 to 150 kilograms per hectare. They make the soil structure better. Traditional farmers, like these methods because they help the soil stay fertile. Traditional biochar creates stable carbon persisting centuries, improving fertility and water retention (Lehmann & Joseph, 2015).

### 3.2 Pest Management and Water Conservation

Traditional pest management is about using natural things like plants to control pests. This method uses pesticides, which are made from plants and companion planting which is when you plant different things together to help keep pests away. People also use practices, which are things that people have been doing for a long time to manage pests. For example Neem extracts are really good at controlling a lot of kinds of insects more than 200 types and they do not hurt the environment very much. Companion planting actually works because of something called allelopathy which's when one plant helps or hurts another plant and it can also help keep pests away. Traditional water systems are also very clever. Include things like collecting rainwater using special irrigation systems and mulching which helps keep the soil healthy. These old systems are really good at taking care of water and the earth. Traditional pest management and water systems are good, for the earth. Can be very effective. Indian studies show traditional water structures recharge groundwater and increase cropped area by 20-40% (Agarwal & Narain, 1997).

### 3.3 Agro biodiversity Conservation

Traditional systems maintain high crop diversity through landrace preservation, in-situ conservation, and seed networks (Brush, 2004). Landraces contain genetic diversity for stress tolerance and disease resistance absent in modern varieties (Ceccarelli et al., 2010). Traditional farmers in diversity centres maintain 20-100+ varieties providing insurance against environmental variability (Jarvis et al., 2008).

## 4. Case Studies of successful integration

### 4.1 Rice-Fish-Duck Integration in East Asia

In China people do a type of farming that combines rice and fish. This traditional way of farming is getting mixed with modern ideas of organic farming. Some farmers are trying something they are growing rice and fish and ducks all together in the same place and they are doing it in a way that is good for the environment. They are getting as much rice as other farmers, about 6.2 tons of rice per hectare and they are also getting a lot of fish about 750 kilograms per hectare and a lot of ducks about 225 ducks per hectare. The good thing about this way of farming is that it helps to reduce the number of pests and it does not use chemicals like pesticides. Rice-fish farming is also good, for the air because it reduces the things that come from methane by 25 to 40 percent. The people who do this type of farming can make more money, about 45 percent more than if they were just growing one thing. Integration now covers over 150,000 hectares (Berg, 2012).

## 4.2 Traditional Milpa System in Mexico

The milpa system is a way of farming that includes maize, beans and squash all together in Mexico. This system works with organic certification. When farmers use the way of having many different plants, around 15 to 20 different kinds and they also use modern ways of making compost they get a lot more food from their land. They get 18 percent food than if they just grew one thing in an organic way. The farmers also keep different types of maize around 45 kinds and they do not have to spend as much money on things they need to farm. They save 52 percent on these costs.. When they get certified they can sell their food for 30 to 40 percent more money than they would otherwise. The milpa system is a way for farmers to make a living and it is good, for the land too. Traditional milpa management maintains 4.2% soil organic matter versus 2.8% in monoculture (Morales et al., 2007).

## 4.3 Indigenous Agroforestry in Kerala

India In Kerala people have these cool traditional home gardens that show how you can combine trees and plants with organic farming. These home gardens have a lot of levels and they can have anywhere from 20 to 80 different species all in a small area of 0.2 to 0.5 hectares. Traditional home gardens are able to produce a lot of food 10 to 15 tons per hectare which is really good. Traditional home gardens also have a lot of biodiversity with around 60 species and they can make money for the people who take care of them all the time. Traditional home gardens are even good for the environment because they can absorb 10 to 15 tons of carbon, per hectare. Integration enhanced economic returns by 35-50% while preserving traditional systems (Muralidharan & Muralidharan, 2015)

## 4.4 Zero Budget Natural Farming in India

Zero Budget Natural Farming (ZBNF) represents modern articulation of traditional Indian practices integrated with scientific understanding (Khadse et al., 2018). Core practices include fermented microbial cultures, seed treatments, mulching, and moisture management (Palekar, 2006). Adopted by 500,000+ farmers, ZBNF demonstrates 30% cultivation cost reduction, comparable or higher yields than conventional farming, and 25-35% increase in soil organic carbon (Kumar et al., 2019; Khadse & Rosset, 2019)

# 5. Benefits of Integration

## 5.1 Enhanced Soil Health and Productivity

Meta-analysis shows integrated systems achieved 23-35% higher soil organic matter, 15-25% increased microbial biomass carbon, improved aggregate stability, and 18-25% enhanced water-holding capacity compared to conventional organic methods (Saxena et al., 2020). Long term studies demonstrate integrated systems maintain stable or

increasing yields while conventional systems decline (Mäder et al., 2002). Traditional diversity management with modern precision optimizes ecological function and productivity (Tittonell & Giller, 2013).

## 5.2 Economic and Environmental Benefits

Farmers who use methods see a big difference. They pay 40 to 52 percent less for things they need from outside. They also get 18 to 28 percent more from what they grow. They can sell their organic traditional products for 30 to 45 percent more money. For example small farmers, in Sub-Saharan Africa do something smart. They grow kinds of traditional crops and they use modern compost. This helps them save a lot of money on fertilizer. They used to spend \$150 to \$200 for each hectare of land. Now they spend \$30 to \$50 for each hectare of land. The best part is that they still get the same amount of crops. Integrated systems provide superior environmental outcomes including enhanced agrobiodiversity, improved ecosystem services, climate mitigation through carbon sequestration (1.5-3.5 t C/ha/year), and 20-40% reduced greenhouse gas emissions (Jackson et al., 2007).

## 5.3 Social and Cultural Benefits

Integration preserves indigenous knowledge systems, empowers marginalized communities, strengthens farmer organizations, and facilitates intergenerational knowledge transfer (Altieri, 2004). Documentation through integration projects creates permanent records preventing knowledge loss. Andean communities recovering traditional terrace agriculture revitalized cultural identity and attracted youth to farming (Zimmerer, 2010). Women's traditional knowledge gains recognition and economic value through organic certification (Howard, 2006)

# 6. Challenges and Barriers

## 6.1 Knowledge Erosion and Institutional Barriers

The loss of Traditional Agricultural Knowledge is a problem. It is the reason why it is hard to bring people and ideas together. This is happening because many people are moving from the countryside to cities. Formal education is also making people forget the ways of doing things. There is no good system to write down and save this knowledge. Some people think that we have lost 30 to 50 percent of the types of crops in just 50 years. The government rules for farming are not helping. They are focused on farms and factories. They give people advice, on how to use chemicals and machines. They have rules that only work for big farms. Traditional Agricultural Knowledge is being lost because of this. Policy frameworks incentivize monoculture through subsidies, creating barriers for traditional polyculture farmers accessing certification and premium markets (IPES-Food, 2016).

## 6.2 Technical and Market Constraints

Traditional knowledge is really specific to situations, which makes it hard to apply in other places. To prove that something works you need to do a lot of research over a time and that costs a lot of money. The problem is that traditional systems are all different so it is hard to make a way of doing things that everyone can follow like Sillitoe and his team said in 2005. There are also problems when it comes to selling these products. Big food companies like things to be the same which makes it hard for small farmers to sell their unique products. Getting certified to sell these products can cost a lot of money between \$500 and \$2,000 every year which's too much, for small farmers as Eyhorn and his team found out in 2007. Traditional products also need equipment to be processed, which is often not available. Price premium may not compensate for lower yields and high labour requirements without market development and direct marketing channels (Padel & Foster, 2005).

## 7. Path Ways for Successful Integration

### 7.1 Participatory Research and Documentation

To really make things work we need to get farmers involved as partners. This can be done by letting them lead the research working together on breeding and having them document things in their communities. We should also have schools in the fields where farmers can learn from each other. For example in Syria and Jordan they did something, like this. It was very successful. They took the knowledge that farmers already had and combined it with modern breeding techniques. This way they were able to create plants that could survive with little water. These new plants were then used on an area of land. Around 800,000 hectares. It is very important that we keep a record of all the knowledge that farmers have. We can do this by working with the community making videos and keeping a gist of all the things that farmers know. This way we can make sure that we do not forget anything. India's People's Biodiversity Registers document 35,000+ traditional crop varieties (Gadgil, 2007).

### 7.2 Policy Support and Market Development

To make things work on a scale we need to have policies that support it. This includes recognizing the knowledge of people changing the rules for organic farming to fit different systems giving money to help conserve the variety of plants and animals and protecting the rights of farmers. The Food and Agriculture Organization said this in 2015. Bhutan decided to make organic farming a national policy, which made it easier for people to keep using methods. This was a decision because it helped create a good environment for traditional practices to thrive. Wangchuk and Siebert wrote about this in 2019. We also need to create markets where people can buy and sell things easily. This can be done by

having markets for farmers, groups of producers working together special labels that show respect for cultural values and processing that adds value to products. Goodman talked about this in 2004. Scaling and creating markets, for organic farming and agrobiodiversity conservation requires supportive policies and recognition of traditional knowledge and farmers rights. Navdanya in India networks 700,000+ farmers conserving 3,000+ rice varieties while accessing premium markets (Shiva, 2004).

## 8. Future Research Directions

We really need to do some research. This research should look at how different farming systems work over a time. We need to compare systems that use a lot of methods with systems that just use one method. We also need to write down what people have been doing for a time, in different parts of the world. It is also important to understand why some traditional practices work. We need to start breeding programs that use new methods together. We need to come up with ways of doing research. We need to bring scientists and traditional people to work together. We should also work with the people who are affected by our research. We need to look at the picture and see how everything is connected. We should also look at how people share their knowledge with each other. Future research should explore cross-sectoral integration linking traditional agriculture with nutrition, indigenous cosmologies with agroecology, and traditional knowledge contributions to climate adaptation (Galluzzi et al., 2010).

## 9. Conclusion

Integrating traditional agricultural knowledge with modern organic farming represents fundamental reconceptualization of agricultural development pathways. Evidence demonstrates integrated approaches enhance soil health (23-35% higher organic matter), improve productivity (18-28% yield increase), reduce costs (40-52% input savings), and strengthen resilience compared to conventional organic methods. These systems maintain exceptional biodiversity, sequester significant carbon, provide diverse ecosystem services, and preserve cultural heritage while generating viable livelihoods. However, realizing integration potential faces significant challenges. Traditional knowledge erosion, institutional frameworks supporting conventional agriculture, technical challenges in validation and scaling, and market systems favouring uniformity provide limited incentives for integrated systems. Overcoming barriers requires coordinated action through participatory research, policy reforms supporting agrobiodiversity conservation, and market development through direct sales and cultural certification. The idea of working is really important for food security and taking care of the environment. It is also important for dealing with climate change and making sure everyone is treated fairly. To make this work scientists and farmers need to listen to each other and respect what the



other person knows. We cannot just rely on what people have done or just on new science to solve the problems we have with farming today. If we bring people together and make sure the government and businesses support us we can create a way of farming that works well is good for the environment and is able to handle tough times. This way of farming should also fit in with the culture. The integration of agriculture is very important. We need to think about food security and environmental sustainability and climate adaptation and social justice. Successful integration requires humility and respect and genuine partnership, between institutions and farming communities. Neither traditional knowledge nor modern science alone can address agricultural challenges but the integration of agriculture can. The integration of agriculture creates sustainable and resilient and culturally appropriate agricultural systems and that is what we need. This integration represents synthesis of accumulated wisdom and contemporary innovation—a promising pathway toward sustainable food systems capable of feeding growing populations while regenerating natural and cultural capital for future generations

## References

- Agarwal, A., & Narain, S. (1997). Dying wisdom: Rise, fall and potential of India's traditional water harvesting systems. Centre for Science and Environment.
- Altieri, M. A. (2004). Linking ecologists and traditional farmers in the search for sustainable agriculture. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 2(1), 35–42.
- Altieri, M. A., & Koohafkan, P. (2008). Enduring farms: Climate change, smallholders and traditional farming communities. Third World Network.
- Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2017). The adaptation and mitigation potential of traditional agriculture in a changing climate. *Climatic Change*, 140(1), 33–45.
- Altieri, M. A., & Toledo, V. M. (2011). The agroecological revolution in Latin America. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 38(3), 587–612.
- Barbosa, P., Hines, J., Kaplan, I., Martinson, H., Szczepanec, A., & Szendrei, Z. (2009). Associational resistance and susceptibility. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 40, 1–20.
- Berg, H. (2012). Rice monoculture and integrated rice-fish farming. *Ecological Economics*, 41(1), 95–107.
- Berkes, F. (2018). *Sacred ecology* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Berkes, F., Colding, J., & Folke, C. (2000). Rediscovery of traditional ecological knowledge as adaptive management. *Ecological Applications*, 10(5), 1251–1262.
- Bhattacharyya, R., Kundu, S., Prakash, V., & Gupta, H. S. (2015). Sustainability under combined application of mineral and organic fertilizers. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 33(4), 283–293.
- Brush, S. B. (2004). Farmers' bounty: Locating crop diversity in the contemporary world. Yale University Press.
- Casas, A., Otero-Arnaiz, A., Pérez-Negrón, E., & Valiente-Banuet, A. (2016). In situ management and domestication of plants in Mesoamerica. *Annals of Botany*, 100(5), 1101–1115.
- Ceccarelli, S., Grando, S., Maatougui, M., Michael, M., Slash, M., Hagparast, R., & Yahyaoui, A. (2010). Plant breeding and climate changes. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 148(6), 627–637.
- Ceccarelli, S., Grando, S., Tutwiler, R., Baha, J., Martini, A. M., Salahieh, H., & Ghanem, A. (2000). A methodological study on participatory barley breeding. *Euphytica*, 111(2), 91–104.
- Chambers, R., Pacey, A., & Thrupp, L. A. (1989). *Farmer first: Farmer innovation and agricultural research*. Intermediate Technology Publications.
- Ebel, R., Pozas, J. G. A., Soria, F. M., & Cruz, J. G. (2017). Profitability and farmers' perceptions of a traditional farming system in Campeche, Mexico. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 32(6), 510–521.
- Eyhorn, F., Ramakrishnan, M., & Mäder, P. (2007). The viability of cotton-based organic farming systems in India. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 5(1), 25–38.
- FAO. (2015). *Agroecology for food security and nutrition*. FAO.
- Gadgil, M. (2007). Documenting diversity: An experiment. *Current Science*, 92(5), 585–586.
- Galluzzi, G., Eyzaguirre, P., & Negri, V. (2010). Home gardens: Neglected hotspots of agro-biodiversity and cultural diversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 19(13), 3635–3654.
- Gomez-Baggethun, E., Corbera, E., & Reyes-García, V. (2013). Traditional ecological knowledge and global environmental change. *Ecology and Society*, 18(4), 72.
- Goodman, D. (2004). Rural Europe redux? Reflections on alternative agro-food networks. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 44(1), 3–16.
- Howard, P. L. (2006). Gender and social dynamics in swidden and homegardens. In *Tropical homegardens* (pp. 159–182). Springer.
- IFOAM. (2020). *The IFOAM norms for organic production and processing*. IFOAM.
- IPES-Food. (2016). From uniformity to diversity: A paradigm shift from industrial agriculture to diversified agroecological systems. IPES-Food.
- Isman, M. B. (2006). Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 51, 45–66.
- Jackson, L. E., Pascual, U., & Hodgkin, T. (2007). Utilizing and conserving agrobiodiversity in

- agricultural landscapes. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 121(3), 196–210.
- Jarvis, D. I., et al. (2008). A global perspective of traditional crop-variety diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(14), 5326–5331.
  - Khadse, A., & Rosset, P. M. (2019). Zero Budget Natural Farming in India. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 43(7-8), 848–871.
  - Khadse, A., Rosset, P. M., Morales, H., & Ferguson, B. G. (2018). Taking agroecology to scale. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 45(1), 192–219.
  - Koohafkan, P., & Altieri, M. A. (2011). Globally important agricultural heritage systems. *FAO*.
  - Koohafkan, P., & Altieri, M. A. (2017). *Forgotten agricultural heritage*. Routledge.
  - Kumar, B. M., & Nair, P. K. R. (2004). The enigma of tropical homegardens. *Agroforestry Systems*, 61(1-3), 135–152.
  - Kumar, B. M., George, S. J., & Chinnamani, S. (2010). Diversity, structure and standing stock of wood in homegardens. *Agroforestry Systems*, 25(3), 243–262.
  - Kumar, N., Khadse, A., Ramesh, P., & Subash, S. P. (2019). Reviving agriculture in distress. In *Farmer first revisited* (pp. 165–170). Practical Action Publishing.
  - Lal, R. (2015). Restoring soil quality to mitigate soil degradation. *Sustainability*, 7(5), 5875–5895.
  - Lehmann, J., & Joseph, S. (2015). *Biochar for environmental management* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
  - Lu, J., & Li, X. (2006). Review of rice-fish-farming systems in China. *Aquaculture*, 260(1-4), 106–113.
  - Mäder, P., et al. (2002). Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. *Science*, 296(5573), 1694–1697.
  - Méndez, V. E., Bacon, C. M., & Cohen, R. (2013). Agroecology as a transdisciplinary approach. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 37(1), 3–18.
  - Morales, H., Perfecto, I., & Ferguson, B. (2007). Traditional fertilization and its effect on corn insect populations. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 84(2), 145–155.
  - Muralidharan, E. M., & Muralidharan, A. (2015). Homegardens as sustainable land use systems. In *Sustainable agriculture and food security* (pp. 221–235). Academic Press.
  - Padel, S., & Foster, C. (2005). Exploring the gap between attitudes and behaviour. *British Food Journal*, 107(8), 606–625.
  - Palekar, S. (2006). *Shoonya bandovalada naisargika krushi*. Swamy Anand.
  - Peng, S., Tang, Q., & Zou, Y. (2009). Current status and challenges of rice production in China. *Plant Production Science*, 12(1), 3–8.
  - Perfecto, I., Vandermeer, J., & Wright, A. (2019). *Nature's matrix* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
  - Ponisio, L. C., et al. (2015). Diversification practices reduce organic to conventional yield gap. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 282(1799), 20141396.
  - Pretty, J. (2018). Intensification for redesigned agricultural systems. *Science*, 362(6417), eaav0294.
  - Pretty, J., et al. (2006). Resource-conserving agriculture increases yields. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40(4), 1114–1119.
  - Pretty, J., et al. (2010). Sustainable intensification in African agriculture. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 9(1), 5–24.
  - Pretty, J., et al. (2018). Global assessment of agricultural system redesign. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(8), 441–446.
  - Reyes-García, V., et al. (2016). Evidence of traditional knowledge loss. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 34(4), 249–257.
  - Rist, L., et al. (2014). Applying resilience thinking to production ecosystems. *Ecosphere*, 5(6), 1–11.
  - Rockström, J., et al. (2017). Sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Ambio*, 46(1), 4–17.
  - Rosset, P. M., & Altieri, M. A. (2017). *Agroecology: Science and politics*. Fernwood Publishing.
  - Rosset, P. M., et al. (2011). The Campesino-to-Campesino agroecology movement. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 38(1), 161–191.
  - Saxena, A. K., et al. (2020). Organic farming: A way to sustainable agricultural development. In *Sustainable agriculture reviews* (pp. 167–203). Springer.
  - Seufert, V., & Ramankutty, N. (2017). Many shades of gray. *Science Advances*, 3(3), e1602638.
  - Sharma, A. R., & Behera, U. K. (2010). Green manuring for soil health. In *Genetic engineering, biofertilisation, soil quality* (pp. 271–297). Springer.
  - Shiva, V. (2004). The future of food. *Futures*, 36(6-7), 715–732.
  - Sillitoe, P., Bicker, A., & Pottier, J. (2005). *Indigenous knowledge and development*. Routledge.
  - Thakur, D. S. (2012). Integrated pest management in traditionally managed pulses. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 11(3), 535–539.
  - Titttonell, P., & Giller, K. E. (2013). When yield gaps are poverty traps. *Field Crops Research*, 143, 76–90.
  - Wangchuk, K., & Siebert, S. F. (2019). Bhutan's organic policy. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, 34(6), 540–547.
  - Warren, D. M., Slikkerveer, L. J., & Brokensha, D. (1995). *The cultural dimension of development*. Intermediate Technology Publications.

